UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/743,443	12/19/2003	Jose Luis Moctezuma De La Barrera	29997/065	1735	
	7590 10/09/200 C& FRANK LLP	8	EXAMINER		
311 S. WACKE	ER DRIVE		RAJ, RAJIV J		
SUITE 2500 CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3686		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/09/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/743,443	BARRERA, JOSE LUIS MOCTEZUMA DE LA	
Examiner	Art Unit	
RAJIV J. RAJ	3686	

	RAJIV J. RAJ	3686	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>September 22, 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE T	HIS APPLICATION IN CONDITIO	N FOR ALLOWANCE	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi ral (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	ndonment of this which places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lateral expired to the period for reply expired to the period for re	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	•	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	er form for appeal by materially red		ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:none Claim(s) shipted to:none.		l be entered and an e x	kplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3, 5-16, 18, and 20-34</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Jerry O'Connor/ SPE, GAU 3686		

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues, on pages 7-8 in the reply filed 29 September 2008, that Iliff fails to teach "identifying a particular step within the multi-step procedure", as recited in claims 1 & 16. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Iliff teaches determining and variety of identiified steps that are part of a multi-step health procedure. Further, Applicant argues that Examiner's Final Rejection is premature. Exmainer repsectfully disagrees. It is the position of the Examiner that amendments made to claims 1 & 16 justifies the finalty of the rejections made the Final Rejection. Finally, Applicant argues that, taken individually, the prior-art does not disclose the limitations cited in the Exmainer's rejections. Examiner reminds applicant that prior-art mustbe interpreted in combination.